# CALCULATION OF THE IONIC TEMPERATURE OF AN ARGON PLASMA (\*)

A. V. B. GASPAR, J. A. C. SERRA Centro de Electrodinâmica das Universidades de Lisboa (CEUL-INIC)

ABSTRACT — Considering the experimental difficulty in determining the ion temperature of a plasma, namely at high pressures or high temperatures, this work aims at a theoretical determination of the ionic temperature ( $T_i$ ) of an Argon plasma in a supposedly homogeneous region where the distribution functions of the electrons (e), ions (i) and neutrals (o) are assumed to be Maxwellian.

 $T_e$  (the electron temperature),  $T_o$  (the neutral temperature),  $n_o$  (the neutral density) and  $n_e$  (the electron density) are known. All temperatures are below 10 eV. It is also assumed that ions possess no specific heating mechanisms.

It is observed that for the same  $T_e$ ,  $T_i$  approaches  $T_o$  for higher  $T_o$ . As a general rule, for higher  $T_e$  values,  $T_i$  values (at the same  $T_o$ ) are found to be lower. Finally, a graphic representation of  $\Delta_r = (T_i - T_o)/T_o$  as a function of  $T_o$ , for different  $T_e$  values, shows curves to intersect; this is due to the fact that the curve representing the ion heating rate at the same  $T_i$  is not a monotonous function of  $T_e$ , which shows that for a given  $T_i$  value there will always exist pairs of  $T_e$  values leading to the same heating rate value.

The results obtained can only be applied to large dimension plasmas with small applied fields. The validity conditions are close to those of a high pressure arc.

### 1 — INTRODUCTION

If it is relatively easy to obtain, experimentally, the electronic temperature of a plasma, the same cannot be said about the ionic temperature; in fact, there exists only one simple experimental technique which makes it possible to obtain it and wich consists in measuring the broadening due to the Doppler effect produced by

<sup>(\*)</sup> Results presented at the Conference of the Portuguese Physics Society (Lisbon, February 1978).

thermal agitation, observable in certain particularly adequate lines. This technique meets with difficulties, both for high pressures, where the pressure broadening is generally dominant and for the high temperatures for which lines are to be found on the low wave lengths where it is rather difficult to obtain a sufficient resolution in the domain of wave lengths.

From this difficulty has arisen the necessity of a theoretical calculation of the ionic temperature.

Our purpose is, therefore, to calculate the ionic temperature of an Argon plasma in a supposedly homogeneous region where the distribution functions of the particles — electrons (e), ions (i) and neutrals — are assumed to be Maxwellian.

 $T_e$  (the electron temperature), and  $T_o$  (the neutral temperature) are known, as well as the neutral gas pressure and the plasma density (density of charged particles). This density varies between  $10^{18}$  and  $10^{20}$  m<sup>-3</sup>. All temperatures are assumed to be below 10 eV.

It is also assumed that ions possess no specific heating mechanisms (magnetic field, ionic wave-instability).

To calculate the ionic temperature we shall start from the relation which expresses the stationary state characterized by the balance between the mean energy transmitted by electrons to ions through elastic collision, and the mean energy transmitted by ions to neutrals during their interaction processes.

# 2—ENERGY BALANCE EQUATION REFERRED TO IONS

Ions are heated by collision with electrons and cooled by collision with neutrals. We shall use the same general approach for ion-electron and ion-neutral collisions even if there is a more exact method for the calculation of the former [2].

## 2.1 — Ion heating rate by collision with electrons

From Fokker - Planck term there results

$$\left[\frac{d E_i}{dt}\right]_{e-i} = n_e \overline{\nu}_{ei} f_{ei} E_e$$
(1)

Portgal. Phys. -- Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

- $\overline{\nu}_{ei}$  mean frequency of electron-ion collisions
- $f_{ei}$  electron energy fraction which is, on the average, transferred to the ion in an elastic collision
- $n_{e}$  -electron density

 $E_e$  – electron mean energy

Cravath [1] established that the energy fraction which is, on the average, transferred in an elastic collision between particles with Maxwellian distributions from the faster particle (j) to the slower (k) is given by

$$f_{jk} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{m_j \ m_k}{(m_j + m_k)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{T_k}{T_j} \right)$$
(2)

 $m_j$  - mass of particle j $m_k$  - mass of particle k

In the present case, particle j is the electron and particle k the ion. On the other hand, since  $m_e \ll m_i$ ,  $m_e + m_i \simeq m_i$ . Substituting in (2) we have

$$f_{ei} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{m_e}{m_i} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathrm{T}_i}{\mathrm{T}_e} \right) \tag{3}$$

The collision mean frequency is given by

$$\overline{\nu}_{jk} = n_k \ \overline{\sigma}_{jk} \ \overline{g}_{jk} \tag{4}$$

 $\overline{\sigma}_{jk}$  - mean cross section of kinetic energy transference  $\overline{g}_{jk}$  - mean relative velocity for Maxwellian distributions [1]

$$\overline{g}_{jk} = \left(\frac{8k}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{T}_j}{m_j}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_j}{m_k} \frac{\mathrm{T}_k}{\mathrm{T}_j} + 1\right)^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

Introducing the simplification due to mass relations (for the Argon case  $m_e / m_i = 1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ ), we have

$$\overline{g}_{ei} = \left(\frac{8k T_e}{\pi m_e}\right)^{1/2} \tag{6}$$

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

The mean cross section of an electron-ion elastic collision is approximately [2]

$$\overline{\sigma}_{ei} = \frac{q_e^2 \, q_i^2 \, l \, n \, \Lambda}{4 \, \pi \, \varepsilon_o^2 \, (3 \, k \, \Gamma_e)^2} \tag{7}$$

where  $ln\Lambda$  is the so-called «Coulombian Logarithm».

$$\Lambda = 12 \pi n_e^{-1/2} \left( \varepsilon_o k T_e / q_e^2 \right)^{3/2} \tag{7'}$$

As the first ionization potentials of the Argon atom are 15,75 eV and 43,37 eV, and since in our case all temperatures are below 10 eV, pratically all ions are simply ionized. Should it not be so, we would have to define the ionic mean charge:

$$\overline{q}_i = \frac{\sum_j n_{ij} q_{ij}}{\sum_j n_{ij}} \tag{8}$$

In our case, as previously seen,  $q_i \simeq q_e$  and therefore (7) becomes

$$\overline{\sigma}_{ei} = \frac{q_e^4 \, l \, n \, \Lambda}{36 \, \pi \, \varepsilon_o^2 \, (k \, \mathrm{T}_e)^2} \tag{9}$$

Substituting in (1) expressions (3), (4), (6), and (7), the following equation is obtained (\*)

$$\left[\frac{d\operatorname{E}_{i}}{dt}\right]_{e-i} = \frac{4 \, q_{e}^{4} \, n_{e} \, n_{i} \, m_{e} \, ln \Lambda \left(1 - \operatorname{T}_{i} / \operatorname{T}_{e}\right)}{9 \pi \, \varepsilon_{o}^{2} \left(2 \pi m_{e} \, k \operatorname{T}_{e}\right)^{1/2} \, m_{i}} \tag{10}$$

2.2—lon cooling rate by collision with neutrals

$$\left[\frac{d \mathbf{E}_i}{d t}\right]_{i=o} = -n_i \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{io} f_{io} \mathbf{E}_i$$
(11)

 $\overline{\nu}_{io}$  -ion-neutral collision mean frequency

 $f_{io}$  - fraction of ion kinetic energy transferred, on the average, to neutrals, during collision

 $E_i$  - ion mean kinetic energy.

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

<sup>(\*)</sup> A more exact description gives a factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  (instead of  $\frac{4}{9}$ ), which we shall use in the numerical calculations.

To calculate this term it is necessary to take into account the charge transfer collision phenomenon which consists in the capture, by the ion, of the peripheric electron of the neutral, and which results in the identity transfer of the particles.

A priori, the following cases may be considered as possible:

a) Purely elastic dominant collisions (because less frequent, charge transfer inelastic collisions are negligible)

$$A(W_A) + B^+(W_B) \rightarrow A(W'_A) + B^+(W'_B)$$

b) If charge transfer inelastic collisions are not negligible, two hypotheses have to be considered:

b.1) During the charge transfer collision there is no energy transference between particles beyond the one resulting from this change

$$A(W_A) + B^+(W_B) \rightarrow A^+(W_A) + B(W_B)$$

b.2) Besides the charge transfer there exists kinetic energy transference between particles

$$A(W_A) + B^+(W_B) \rightarrow A^+(W'_A) + B(W'_B)$$

Let us analyse the more general case b.2).

E will represent the energy before the collision and E' the energy after the collision.  $f_{io_{t,c.}}$  will be the kinetic energy mean fraction elastically transferred during the charge transfer collision.

$$\mathbf{E}'_{i} = \mathbf{E}_{o} + f_{io_{t.c.}} \mathbf{E}_{i} \tag{12}$$

Neutral:

$$\mathbf{E}'_{o} = \mathbf{E}_{i} - f_{io_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{e}_{i}}} \mathbf{E}_{i} \tag{13}$$

The kinetic energy lost, on an average, by the ion through collision with a neutral is given by:

$$\Delta \mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}_i - \mathbf{E}'_i = \mathbf{E}_i - \mathbf{E}_o - f_{io_{t.c.}} \mathbf{E}_i \tag{14}$$

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

Let  $f_{io_{eq}}$  represent the ion energy fraction which is, on an average, transferred to the neutral during a charge transfer collision. Then,

$$f_{io_{eq}} = \frac{\Delta E_i}{E_i} = 1 - \frac{E_o}{E_i} - f_{io_{t.e.}} = \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_i}\right) - f_{io_{t.e.}}$$
(15)

Let us now find the value of  $f_{i\sigma_{ea}}$  for the other cases.

a) In this limit, since the charge transfer is negligible,  $f_{io_{eq}} = f_{io}$  (kinetic energy fraction transferred on an average in an *i-o* purely elastic collision);  $f_{io}$  is determined by expression (2) where  $m_o \simeq m_i$ 

$$f_{io} = 2/3 \, (1 - T_o / T_i) \tag{16}$$

b) In the limit b.1),  $f_{io_{1}}=0$ 

Then

$$f_{io_{eq}} = (1 - T_o / T_i)$$
 (17)

Assuming that in expression (15)  $f_{io_{t,c}} \leq f_{io}$ , we can have for any of the cases considered

 $\Delta E_i = E_i - E_o$ 

$$f_{io_{eq}} = \alpha \left( 1 - T_o / T_i \right) \tag{18}$$

where  $\alpha$  varies from 1/3 (case b.2, with  $f_{io_{t,e}} = f_{io}$ ) to the unit (case b.1):

$$1/3 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1 \tag{19}$$

The values of the elastic collision cross sections and of the charge transfer for the case of Ar at low energy being of the same order of magnitude [3], it seems correct to take an average value  $\overline{\alpha}=2/3$  and the total cross section (elastic + charge transfer). In the absence of experimental results on the energy band lower than 1 eV, the value of the total cross section should be obtained by extrapola-

tion of the values available. It should be pointed out that, for extremely low collision energies this extrapolation is not valid, as in this case a polar binary complex may be formed, there arising then a situation which, for such energies, leads to a theoretically higher cross section [4].

Let us now determine  $v_{io}$ 

$$\mathbf{v}_{io} = \mathbf{n}_o \ \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{io} \ \ \boldsymbol{g}_{io} \tag{20}$$

. The experimental curve of  $(\overline{\sigma}_{io})_{t.c.}$  as a function of  $E_i$  [5] is very approximately described by the expression

$$(\overline{\sigma}_{io})_{\text{t.e.}} = (6,9-0,25 \ ln \ E_i)^2 \times 10^{-20} \ \text{m}^2$$
 (21)

with  $E_i$  in eV.

 $g_{io}$  is obtained from expression (5) with  $m_o \simeq m_i$ ,

$$\overline{g}_{io} = \left(\frac{8k \operatorname{T}_i}{\pi m_i}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{T}_o}{\operatorname{T}_i}\right)^{1/2}$$
(22)

Substituting in (11), and with  $E_i = 3/2 k T_i$ , we have

$$\left[\frac{d \operatorname{E}_{i}}{d t}\right]_{i=o} = -n_{i} n_{o} \overline{\sigma}_{io} \left(\frac{8 k \operatorname{T}_{i}}{\pi m_{i}}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{T}_{o}}{\operatorname{T}_{i}}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{T}_{o}}{\operatorname{T}_{i}}\right) k \operatorname{T}_{i} \quad (23)$$

### 3 - FINAL EQUATION

From equations (10) and (23) we get

$$\frac{T_{e}^{3/2} (T_{i} + T_{o})^{1/2} (T_{i} - T_{o})}{(T_{e} - T_{i})} = \frac{n_{e}}{n_{o}} \frac{q_{e}^{4} ln\Lambda}{8\pi \varepsilon_{o}^{2} (m_{i}/m_{e})^{1/2} \overline{\sigma}_{io} k^{2}}$$
(24)

The solution of equation (24) to determine  $T_i$  is very complex since  $\overline{\sigma}_{io} = f(T_i)$ . On the other hand  $ln \Lambda = f(n_e, T_e)$ . However, from the observation of the dependence of  $\overline{\sigma}_{io}$  on  $E_i$  and of the

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979 231

values of  $ln\Lambda$  the following simplifying hypothesis may be considered:

Equation (21) was determined for  $E_i$  values between 1 eV and 100 eV (1 eV ~ 10.000 K). Since  $T_e > T_i > T_o$ , and considering the range of values used for  $T_e$ , for  $T_o$  and for  $n_e/n_o$ , it can be concluded that  $T_i$  will take values between the approximate limits of 300 K (0,03 eV) and 10000 K (1 eV). Thus, and since  $(\overline{\sigma}_{io})_{t.e.}$  varies very little with  $E_i$ , the curve for  $E_i < 1$  eV can be extrapolated and its mean value taken in the above mentioned range:

$$(\overline{\sigma}_{io})_{te} = 51 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2$$

$$(\overline{\sigma}_{io}) = 102 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2$$
(25)

Substituting in equation (24) the values of constants and the value of  $\overline{\sigma_{io}}$  given by (25), we have

$$\frac{T_e^{3/2} (T_i + T_o)^{1/2} (T_i - T_o)}{(T_e - T_i)} = \delta$$
(26)

and

where

 $T_{e}^{3} T_{i}^{3} - (\delta^{2} + T_{e}^{3} T_{o}) T_{i}^{2} + (2\delta^{2} - T_{e}^{3} T_{o}^{2}) T_{i} + (T_{e}^{3} T_{o}^{3} - \delta^{2} T_{e}^{2}) = 0$ (27)

 $\delta = 6.36 \times 10^6 (n_e / n_o)^2 l n \Lambda$ 

and  $ln \Lambda$  is given in (7').

Solution was worked out by a numerical method, results having been obtained for several electronic temperatures and  $n_e/n_o$  ratios. Two groups of typical curves are presented (Figs. 1 and 2).

# 4-DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

It can be seen from Figs. 1 and 2 that for constant  $T_e$ ,  $T_i$  approaches  $T_o$  for higher  $T_o$ . This result is to be expected since

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

when  $T_o$  approaches  $T_e$ , the equilibrium temperature  $T_i$  which results from the energy balance equation

$$\left[\frac{d \mathbf{E}_i}{d t}\right]_{e-i} = -\left[\frac{d \mathbf{E}_i}{d t}\right]_{i-o}$$

tends to approach To and Te.





Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979

On the other hand and as a general rule, for higher  $T_e$  values we get lower  $T_i$  values (for the same  $T_o$ ). However curves of Fig. 1 and 2 are found to intersect. This is due to the fact that the curve representing the ion heating rate for a given  $T_i$  is not a monotonous function of  $T_e$ . Thus there will always exist for a given  $T_i$  value, pairs of  $T_e$  values which lead to the same heating rate value.



Fig. 2 — Ionic temperature of an argon plasma as a function of the neutral temperature  $(T_0)$  for several values of the electron temperature  $(T_e)$ .

The results obtained have a limited field of validity since they can only be applied to regions of homogeneous plasma where the ion heating and cooling mechanisms are exclusively due to elastic collisions, respectively with electrons and neutrals. Now this can only occur for large dimension plasmas with small applied fields, whether electric or magnetic.

The validity conditions required are acceptable for a high pressure arc, the present results being then applicable.

On the other hand the parameters used in the equations can hardly be regarded as independent in a real plasma. A possible development of this work would be the inclusion of a balance condition for the neutrals with a view to a more consistent theory.

#### REFERENCES

- AUSTIN M. CRAVATH, «The rate at which ions lose energy in elastic collisions» — Phys. Rev., 36, 248 (1930).
- [2] DAVID J. ROSE & MELVILLE CLARK Jr, Plasma and Controlled Fusion, M.I.T. Press 1961, p. 163 and 169.
- [3] EARL W. MCDANIEL, Collision Phenomena in ionized gases, John Wiley, 1964, p. 164.
- [4] E. E. NIKITIN, Theory of elementary Atomic and Molecular Processes in Gases, Clarendon Press, 1974.
- [5] P. MAHADEVAN & G. D. MAGNUSON, «Low Energy (1-to 100 eV) Charge-Transfer Cross-Section Measurements for Noble-Gas-Ion Collisions with Gases», *Phys. Rev.*, **171**, 103 (1968).

Portgal. Phys. - Vol. 10, fasc. 3-4, pp. 225-235, 1979